

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,226	11/19/2003	Ji-Young Kim	39894-00601	6919	
29880 FOX ROTHSO	7590 08/07/200 THILD LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PRINCETON	PIKE CORPORATE C	ROBERT	ROBERTS, LEZAH		
2000 Market Street Tenth Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/717,226		KIM ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612		

	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the annronriat	e extension fee					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- and 7 CFR 1.178; is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	e of the date of					
. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		to the second second term of						
(c) I have are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
		mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 								
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	intery fried afficiation	it canceling the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>158-164,166-202, 205-207, 215 and 21</u>	<u>16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and 								
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	a damagne reasons why the amagn	tor other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. 🔲 Other:								
/Frederick Krass/	/Lezah W Roberts/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	Fyaminer Art I Init 1612							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowence because: In regard to the Leaderman reference not being available as prior art, the certified copy with the date March 17, 2000 does not appear to disclose a dry patch scrited in the instant claims, thus the claims do not have the earlier priority date. Therefore the reference appears to be appropriate prior art. Further, although Leaderman does not disclose and example of polyvinyl pyrmlidione in combination with peroxide, it suggests the combination by disclosing polyvinyl pyrmlidione as capable of forming a gel for the purposes of the disclosed compositions. The compositions also are dry compositions that when placed in the oral cavily will althere to the surface and therefore meet the limitation that the patches have adhesive strength and wherein the adhesive strength of the patch will increase upon becoming hydrated by applying the patch to a user's teeth. In regard to Gallopo, it teaches compositions that whiten the teeth and therefore it would have been reasonable for one ordinary skill in the art to look to the reference for actives that are used to whiten or treat the teeth. Sagel discloses the polyving hydrodene has adhesive character and it also discloses the dimensions for the strip. Therefor one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to look to the teachings of Sagel because they teach appropriate dimensions for strips used in the oral cavilv.